

United States Patent and Trademark Office

_ NAK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,041	02/26/2004	Yuan Kong	003797.00783	2911	
	7590 /ITCOFF, LTD.		EXAMINER		
ATTORNEYS	ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NOS. 003797 & 013797 1100 13th STREET, N.W.			NGUYEN, KEVIN M	
SUITE 1200	EEI, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-4051		2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Supplemental Advisory Action	10/786,041	KONG, YUAN				
' Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a)						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee large 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
B. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	·					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-13 and 37-52</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ut doos NOT plan the application is	a condition for allowa	naa baaayaa:			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Pager News)						
13. Other:						
	MICHARD COMPL	Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner				
	Silvering IN TARINE					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the claims are amended subsequent to the final rejection that contains claims 6, 39 and 48 having been wholly incorporated into claims 1, 37 and 45, respectively; however, the After Final Amendment filed on 11/1/2007 has NOT been entered, as this would necessitate a change in the grounds of rejection of other dependent claims. Therefore, applicant's amendment found in this request are not seen to be consistent with the claims that stand finally rejected in the Final Office action mailed 8/27/2007.